

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,085	02/14/2001	Zvi Lifshitz	P-3057-US	9828	
27130 . 7	590 02/06/2004		EXAMINER		
EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP			BAYERL, RA	BAYERL, RAYMOND J	
10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001 NEW YORK, NY 10020		1	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•			.2173	. 7	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	4 (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/782,085	LIFSHITZ, ZVI				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Raymond J. Bayerl	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	- -					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) $1 - 4$ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.		•				
	Claim(s) <u>2 - 4</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2001</u> is/are	: a) accepted or b) ⊠objected	d to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 		d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,					

Serial Number: 09/782,085

Art Unit: 2173

1. The disclosure is objected to because the top margin supplied on the pages of the specification is too narrow, at 0.7 inches. This has resulted in partial obliteration of the top lines of each page, when holes were punched to enter such pages into the official file.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Please note that at page 3, line 4, applicant recites "prior art Fig 1".

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Signes ("Signes"; US #6,195,088 B1).

As per the claimed "method of PROTO implementation in MPEG-4", "defining a PROTO object class" and "instantiating a PROTO object" is to be found in Signes, in which a scene may be more efficiently encoded (Abstract) [I]n the context of MPEG-4 (col 4, lines 33 – 59). A PROTOinterfaceDefinition may be varied, so that new

Art Unit: 2173

<u>parameters coded optimally</u>, an <u>enhanced PROTO</u> with <u>new values</u> (col 8, lines 9 – 37) may be introduced into a scene. Thus, with the original <u>PROTO</u> as the claimed "object class" and its <u>new values</u> "instantiating a PROTO object", when the final Signes scene calls its "PROTO object into an MPEG-4 scene graph", a "rendering" of "said PROTO object" will occur.

5. Claims 2 – 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

While the best prior art reference Signes clearly provides for instantiated PROTO objects to be used in an MPEG-4 rendering, the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the plural "defining step" components of dependent claim 2, nor the "cloning" routine found in the detailed recitation of claim 3's "instantiating step". Similarly, while "calling" as in independent claim 1 is taught by Signes, the particular "overloading" of specific "operator" components in claim 4 is not taught nor suggested by the art of record.

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The remaining US Patent documents made of record (see attached form PTO-892) relate to object-based descriptions for media content.

Serial Number: 09/782,085 Page 4

Art Unit: 2173

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond J. Bayerl whose telephone number is (703) 305-9789. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F from 10:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703) 308-3116. All patent application related correspondence transmitted by FAX **must be directed** to the central FAX number (703) 872-9306.
- 9. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

4 February 2004